GRADING SYSTEM

Europe

BBA International Program
CHULALONGKORN BUSINESS SCHOOL
THAILAND

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Belgium	3
	1.1 Katholieke Universiteit Leuven (KU Leuven)	3
2	Denmark	4
	2.1 Copenhagen Business School	4
3	Finland	5
	3.1 Aalto University	5
	3.2 Haaga-Helia University of Applied Sciences	5
	3.3 Hanken School of Economics	5
4	France	6
	4.1 Essec Business School	6
	4.2 IÉSEG School of Management	6
	4.3 ISC Paris Business School	7
	4.4 NEOMA Business School, Reims Campus	7
	4.5 NEOMA Business School, Rouen Campus	8
	4.6 Skema Business School	8
	4.7 Telecom Business School	8
	4.8 Toulouse Business School	9
5	Germany	10
	5.1 European Business School	10
	5.2 Pforzheim University	10
	5.3 Technische Hochschule Nuernberg Georg Simon Ohm	10
	5.4 University of Cologne	11
	5.5 University of Mannheim	11

	5.6 WHU-OTTO Besheim School of Management	. 12
6	Netherlands	13
	6.1 Amsterdam University of Applied Sciences	. 13
	6.2 Erasmus University (Rotterdam School of Management)	. 13
	6.3 Maastricht University	. 13
	6.4 Tilburg University	. 14
	6.5 Vrije University Amsterdam (VU Amsterdam)	. 14
	6.6 University of Groningen	. 14
7	Norway	15
	7.1 BI Norwegian Business School	. 15
8	Sweden	16
	8.1 Jonkpoing University	. 16
	8.2 Stockholm University	. 16
9	Switzerland	17
	9.1 Lucerne University of Applied Sciences and Arts	. 17
	9.2 University of St.Gallen	. 17
	9.3 Zurich University of Applied Sciences Winterthur	. 18
10	United Kingdom	19
	10.1 Aston University	. 19
	10.2 Lancaster University	. 19
	10.3 University of Edinburgh	. 20
	10.4 University of Exeter	. 20
	10.5 University of Hull	21

Each student should be assigned a single letter grade highlighted in pink equivalent to C Chula grade at the end of each course by the course instructor as following universities:

1 BELGIUM

1.1 KATHOLIEKE UNIVERSITEIT LEUVEN (KU LEUVEN)

Explanation	Grade		
	0-5 : totally insufficient : considerable further work is required		
Fail (0-9)	6-7 : more work is required before the credit can be awarded		
	8-9 : some more work is required		
Pass	10-11 : sufficient: performance meets the minimal criteria		
Pass	12-13 : satisfactory: fair but with some shortcomings		
Good	14-15 : distinction, generally sound work		
Very Good 16-17 : high distinction, work of very good quality and above average s			
Excellent 18-19 : high distinction, work of excellent quality			
Outstanding 20 : unusually strong, exceptionally high performance			

2 DENMARK

2.1 COPENHAGEN BUSINESS SCHOOL

Grade	Description	ECTS	
12	For an excellent performance displaying a high level of command of all		
	aspects of the relevant material, with no or only a few minor weaknesses.		
10	For a very good performance displaying a high level of command of most	В	
	aspects of the relevant material, with only minor weaknesses.		
7	For a good performance displaying good command of the relevant material		
	but also some weaknesses.		
4	For a fair performance displaying some command of the relevant material but		
	also some major weaknesses.		
2	For a performance meeting only the minimum requirements for acceptance.	Е	
0	For a performance which does not meet the minimum requirements for	Fx	
	acceptance.		
-3	For a performance which is unacceptable in all respects	F	

3 FINLAND

3.1 AALTO UNIVERSITY

Grade	Defination	Letter Grade
5	Excellent knowledge	A
4	Very good knowledge	В
3	Good knowledge	С
2	Satisfactory knowledge	D
1	Sufficient knowledge	Е
0	Fail	F

3.2 HAAGA-HELIA UNIVERSITY OF APPLIED SCIENCES

Grade	Definition	Letter Grade
5	Excellent	А
4	Very good	В
3	Good	С
2	Satisfactory	D
1	Sufficient	E
Н	Pass	
0	Fail	F
Χ	Not evaluated yet	

3.3 HANKEN SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS

Percentage Scale	Definition
90-100	Excellent
80-89	Very good
70-79	Good
60-69	Satisfactory
50-59	Sufficient
0-49	Fail

4 FRANCE

4.1 ESSEC BUSINESS SCHOOL

Grade	BBA Grade	Definition
А	16-20	Excellent
В	14-15	Very good
С	12-13	Good
D	11	Satisfactory
Е	10	Sufficient
F	0-9	Fail

4.2 IÉSEG SCHOOL OF MANAGEMENT

IÉSEG Grade	US Grade	ECTS Grade	GPA	Definition
14 – 20	A	A	3.8 – 4	Excellent / EXCELLENT – outstanding performance with only minor errors
12 – 13.9	B+	В	3.3 – 3.7	Très Bien / VERY GOOD – above the average standard but with some errors
11 – 11.9	В	С	2.9 – 3.2	Bien / GOOD – generally sound work with a number of notable errors
10.5 – 10.9	B-		2.4 – 2.8	
10.1 - 10.4	C+	D	2.1 – 2.3	Satisfaisant / SATISFACTORY – fair but with significant shortcomings
10	С		2	
9 – 9.9	C-	Е	1.1 – 1.9	Suffisant / SUFFICIENT – performance meets the minimum criteria
8.1 – 8.9	D		1	
8	F	FX	0	Insuffisant / FAIL – some more work required before the credit can be awarded
0 – 7.9	F	F	0	Insuffisant / FAIL – considerable further work is required

4.3 ISC PARIS BUSINESS SCHOOL

Grade	Comments	
14-20	Exceptional	
12-13.99	Good	
10-11.99	Satisfactory	
8-9.99	Unsatisfactory	
Less than 8	Failure	

4.4 NEOMA BUSINESS SCHOOL, REIMS CAMPUS

Grade	Comments			
14-20	Exceptional Performance: Detailed in-depth knowledge of the theory and			
	techniques taught in class; able to easily communicate this knowledge;			
	independent and original practical application of the theory and techniques			
12-13.99	Good Performance: Good overall understanding of the theory and techniques			
	taught in class and ability to apply as well as to communicate them well			
10-11.99	Satisfactory Performance: Satisfactory understanding of the theory and			
	techniques taught in class and ability to adequately apply and communicate			
	them			
8-9.99	Unsatisfactory Performance: Elementary understanding of most of the theory and techniques taught in class but considerable weaknesses in some areas as			
1 + 0	well as in the ability to apply and communicate this understanding			
Less than 8	Failure: Inadequate and fragmented knowledge of the theory and techniques			
	taught in class or failure to complete assignments			

4.5 NEOMA BUSINESS SCHOOL, ROUEN CAMPUS

French Grade	ECTS Grade	Comments
15.00 - 20.00	А	Excellent
13.00 - 14.99	В	Very good
12.00 - 12.99	С	Good
11.00 - 11.99	D	Satisfactory
10.00 - 10.99	Е	Sufficient
08.00 - 09.99	FX	Fail
00.00 - 07.99	F	Fail

4.6 SKEMA BUSINESS SCHOOL

Grades	Comments
16-20	Excellent
14-16	Very good
13-14	Good
12-13	Satisfactory
10-12	Sufficient
7-10	Fail
0-7	Fail

4.7 TELECOM BUSINESS SCHOOL

Scale	Grade Description	
16-20	Very good	
14-15.9	Good	
12-13.9	Quite good	
10-11.9	Satisfactory	
< 10	Fail	

4.8 TOULOUSE BUSINESS SCHOOL

Toulouse Business School		ECTS Grades
Très bien / Excellent	16 - 17 - 18 - 19 - 20	А
Bien / Very Good	14 – 15	В
Assez Bien / Good	12 – 13	С
Passable / Average	10 – 11	D
Acceptable / Pass	8 – 9	E
Insuffisant / Insufficient (Fail)	0-1-2-3-4-5-6-7	F / FX

5 GERMANY

5.1 EUROPEAN BUSINESS SCHOOL

German Grade	Definition
1,0-1,4	Very good
1,5-2,4	Good
2,5-3,4	Satisfactory
3,5-4	Adequate
5,0	Fail

5.2 PFORZHEIM UNIVERSITY

Grade	Definition
1,0 -1,4	excellent
1,5 -1,8	very good
1,9 -2,5	good
2,6 -3,5	satisfactory
3,6 -4,0	sufficient
> 4,0	fail

5.3 TECHNISCHE HOCHSCHULE NUERNBERG GEORG SIMON OHM

ECTS grade	Local grade	Definition
А	1,0 - 1,5	Excellent/Very good
В	1,6 - 2,5	Good
С	2,6 - 3,5	Satisfactory
D	3,6 - 4,0	Sufficient
F	4,1 - 5,0	Insufficient = Fail

5.4 UNIVERSITY OF COLOGNE

Grade	In words Grade	
1,0	Excellent	
1,3	Excellent	
1,7	Good	
2,0	Good	
2,3	Good	
2,7	Satisfactory	
3,0	Satisfactory	
3,3	Satisfactory	
3,7	Sufficient	
4,0	Sufficient	
4,7	Fail	
5,0	Fail	

5.5 UNIVERSITY OF MANNHEIM

Mannheim grade	Descriptor	ECTS grade
1.0 - 1.5	Excellent	А
1.6 - 2.0	Very Good	В
2.1 – 2.5	Good	В
2.6 - 3.5	Satisfactory	С
3.6 - 4.0	Sufficient	D
4.1 - 5.0	Not Sufficient	F

5.6 WHU-OTTO BESHEIM SCHOOL OF MANAGEMENT

WHU Grading System	Definition	Explanation
1.0	EXCELLENT	An outstanding performance
1.3	EXCELLENT	An outstanding performance
1.7	VERY GOOD	A performance that is significantly above the average
2.0	VERT GOOD	requirements
2.3	COOD	A performance that is slightly above or conforms to the
2.7	GOOD	average requirements
3.0	CATICEACTORY	A performance that largely conforms to the average
3.3	SATISFACTORY	requirements
3.7	CLIFFICIENT	A performance, that despite deficiencies, still satisfies the
4.0	SUFFICIENT	requirements
5.0	FAIL	A performance, which due to significant deficiencies no longer satisfies the requirements

6 Netherlands

6.1 AMSTERDAM UNIVERSITY OF APPLIED SCIENCES

Official Dutch grading scheme		
10	Excellent	
9	Very good	
8	Good	
7	Satisfactory	
6	Sufficient	
5-1	Fail	

6.2 ERASMUS UNIVERSITY (ROTTERDAM SCHOOL OF MANAGEMENT)

Dutch grades	Dutch grade range	Explanation	ECTS Grade
10	9.5-10	Excellent	А
9	8.5-9.4	Very good	В
8	7.5-8.4	Good	С
7	6.5-7.4	Satisfactory	D
6	5.5-6.4	Pass	Е
1-5	1.0-5.4	Fail	F

6.3 MAASTRICHT UNIVERSITY

Dutch grading system		
10	Exceptional	
9.0-9.5	Excellent	
8.0-8.5	Very good	
7.0-7.5	Good	
6.0-6.5	Satisfactory	
5.5	Sufficient	
≤5.0	Insufficient	

6.4 TILBURG UNIVERSITY

European grade (ECTS)	Dutch Grade	Meaning
А	9.5-10.0 8.5-9.0	EXCELLENT: outstanding performance with only minor errors
В	8.0 7.5	VERY GOOD: above the average standard but with some errors
С	7.0 6.5	GOOD: generally sound work with a number of notable errors
D/E	6	SUFFICIENT: performance meets criteria
FX	5.5 5.0	FAIL: some more work required before the credit can be awarded
F	<5.0	FAIL: considerable further work is required

6.5 VRIJE UNIVERSITY AMSTERDAM (VU AMSTERDAM)

Dutch grade	Quality Assessment	ECTS grade
8.0 - 10.0	Excellent/Very good	А
7.5 - 7.9	Good	В
7.0 - 7.4	More than satisfactory	С
6.5 - 6.9	Satisfactory	D
6.0 - 6.4	Sufficient	Е
0.0 - 5.0	Fail	F

6.6 University of Groningen

Dutch grading system		
10	Outstanding	
9	Excellent	
8	Very good	
7	Satisfactory/Good pass	
6	Minimum pass/sufficient	
5-1	Fail	

7 Norway

7.1 BI NORWEGIAN BUSINESS SCHOOL

ECTS Grades	Definitions
А	EXCELLENT – outstanding performance with only minor errors
В	VERY GOOD – above the average standard but with some errors
С	GOOD – generally sound work with a number of notable errors
D	SATISFACORY – fair but with significant shortcomings
Е	SUFFICIENT – performance meets the minimum criteria
F	FAIL – considerable further work is required

8 SWEDEN

8.1 JONKPOING UNIVERSITY

Grade	Reference to grade	Provided that the student has met all intended learning outcomes; as a GENERAL rule*
А	EXCELLENT performance	90 % of the maximum course point warrants the student an A.
В	VERY GOOD performance	80 % of the maximum course point warrants the student a B.
С	GOOD performance	70 % of the maximum course point warrants the student a C.
D	SATISFACTORY performance	65 % of the maximum course point warrants the student a D.
Е	SUFFICIENT performance	60 % of the maximum course point is needed to pass the course and get the grade E.
FX or	FAIL with some or a lot of work required before credit(s) can be awarded	Students that perform below 60 % will not pass the course.

^{*} Please note that deviations from the % can occur.

8.2 STOCKHOLM UNIVERSITY

ECTS grade	Swedish grading system	Approximate percentage achieved
А	Pass with Distinction (VG)	90-100%
В	Pass with Distinction (VG)	80-89%
С	Pass (G)	70-79%
D	Pass (G)	60-69%
Е	Pass (G)	50-59%
F	Fail (F)	49% and below

9 SWITZERLAND

9.1 LUCERNE UNIVERSITY OF APPLIED SCIENCES AND ARTS

The Swiss grading system		
6	excellent	
5.5	very good	
5	good	
4.5	satisfactory	
4	sufficient	
3.5	insufficient/fail	

9.2 UNIVERSITY OF ST. GALLEN

HSG	Definition	ECTS
Grade		grade
6	excellent	А
5.5	very good	В
5	good	С
4.5	satisfactory	D
4	marginal	Е
3.5	unsatisfactory	F
3	poor	
2.5	poor to very poor	
2	very poor	
1.5	very poor to useless	
1	useless	

9.3 ZURICH UNIVERSITY OF APPLIED SCIENCES WINTERTHUR

Swiss Grade		ECTS Grade
6	Excellent	A
5.5	Very good	В
5	Good	С
4.5	Fair	D
4	Pass	E
<4.0	- 1	FX Fail: more work required
	Fail	F Fail: considerable work required

10 UNITED KINGDOM

10.1 ASTON UNIVERSITY

Mark Grade Classification		
>70%	Α	First
60-69%	В	2.1
50-59%	C	2.2
40-49%	D	Third
<39%	Е	Fail

10.2 LANCASTER UNIVERSITY

Overall mean aggregation score	Letter Grade	Degree class awarded
17.5 – 24.0	А	First class honours
17.1 - 17.4	B+	Borderline – either first or upper second class honours
14.5 – 17.0	В	Upper second class honours
14.1 - 14.4	C+	Borderline – either upper or lower second class honours
11.5 – 14.0	С	Lower second class honours
11.1 - 11.4	D+	Borderline – either lower second or third class honours
9.0 - 11.0	D	Third class honours
8.1 - 8.9	D	Borderline – either pass degree or fail
0 - 8.0	F	Fail

10.3 UNIVERSITY OF EDINBURGH

Mark (%)	Grade	Description
70-100	А	An excellent performance (pass)
60-69	В	A very good performance (pass)
50-59	С	A good performance (pass)
40-49	D	A satisfactory performance (pass)
30-39	Е	A marginal fail (fail)
20-29	F	A clear fail (fail)
10-19	G	A bad fail (fail)
0-9	Н	A bad fail (fail)

10.4 University of Exeter

ECTS grade	Approx % of successful students achieving the grade	Indicative % mark	Exeter grade	ECTS definition and criteria of performance
А	10	>70%	1	EXCELLENT: an excellent piece of work, only marginal mistakes
В	25	60-70	2:01	VERY GOOD: some mistakes, but overall still outstanding work
С	30	55-60	Upper 2:2	GOOD: good and sound understanding but some basic mistakes
D	25	50-55	Lower 2:2	SATISFACTORY: an average piece of work, clearly showing some deficiencies
Е	10	40-49	3	PASS: the work fulfils the requirements
FX	-	35-39	Fail	minor improvements would be necessary in order to achieve a pass
F	-	<35%	Bad Fail	considerable further work is required

10.5 UNIVERSITY OF HULL

ECTS Grade	Hull Degree Class	Equivalent Hull Mark	Definition
А	1st (First)	70 - 100	Excellent: Outstanding performance with only minor errors
В	2:1 (Two One)	60 - 69	Very good: Above the average standard but with some errors
С	2:2 (Two Two)	50 -59	Good: Generally sound work with a number of notable errors
D	3rd (Third)	40 -49	Satisfactory: fair but with significant shortcomings
Е	Compensable	35 - 39	Sufficient: performance meets with minimum criteria
FX	Fail	-	Fail: some more work required before the credit can be awarded
F	Fail	-	Fail considerable further work is required